Strongly coupled quantum discrete Liouville theory: II. Geometric interpretation of the evolution operator

Strongly coupled quantum discrete Liouville theory: II. Geometric interpretation of the evolution operator

L D Faddeev and R M Kashaev

Steklov Mathematical Institute at St Petersburg, Fontanka 27, St Petersburg 191011, Russia

E-mail: faddeev@pdmi.ras.ru and kashaev@pdmi.ras.ru

Abstract
It is shown that the Nth power of the light-cone evolution operator of the $2N$-periodic quantum discrete Liouville model can be identified with the Dehn twist operator in quantum Teichmüller theory.

PACS numbers: 02.60.Lj, 02.10.Hh, 02.40.Re, 03.65.Fd, 03.70.+k, 11.25.Hf

1. Introduction

Integrable lattice regularization of quantum Liouville theory has been developed in [2, 4, 5]. According to the recent development in [3], the model is expected to describe the quantum Liouville equation with Virasoro central charge $c_L > 1$, including the 'strongly coupled regime' $1 < c_L < 25$.

This paper can be considered as a second part of our previous work [3] dedicated to the discrete Liouville model. Here we show that the evolution operator of the model can be interpreted in pure geometrical terms within quantum Teichmüller theory [1, 6–9]. Namely, we identify the Nth power of the light-cone evolution operator of the quantum discrete Liouville model of spatial length $2N$ (which is the number of sites in a chain) with the Dehn twist operator in quantum Teichmüller theory.

The paper is organized as follows. The quantum discrete Liouville system is briefly described in section 2. The relation to quantum Teichmüller theory is explained in section 3.

2. Quantum discrete Liouville system

2.1. Algebra of observables

Following [3], algebra of observables $\mathcal{A}_N$, $N > 1$, is generated by self-adjoint elements f_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with periodicity condition $\mathsf{f}_{j+2N} = \mathsf{f}_j$ and commutation relations

$$[\mathsf{f}_m, \mathsf{f}_n] = \begin{cases} (-1)^m (2\pi \mathrm{i})^{-1}, & \text{if } n = m \pm 1 \pmod{2N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. Equations of motion

The field variables

$$\chi_{j,t} \equiv \mathsf{U_{lc}}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi b \mathsf{f}_{j+t}} \mathsf{U_{lc}}^{-t}, \qquad j + t = 1 \pmod 2$$

are defined so that

$$\mathsf{U_{lc}} \chi_{j,t} \mathsf{U_{lc}}^{-1} = \chi_{j-1,t+1}.$$

Here the 'light-cone' evolution operator $\mathsf{U_{lc}}$ is defined explicitly

$$\mathsf{U_{lc}} = \mathsf{G} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_b(\mathsf{f}_{2j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_b(\mathsf{f}_{2j-1}) \mathsf{G} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\varphi_b(z) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{1}{4} \int_{i0-\infty}^{i0+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}2zw}\,\mathrm{d}w}{\sinh(wb)\sinh(w/b)w}\right) = (\mathrm{e}^{2\pi(z+c_b)b}; q^2)_\infty / (\mathrm{e}^{2\pi(z-c_b)b^{-1}}; \bar{q}^2)_\infty,$$

$$q \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi b^2}, \quad \bar{q} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi b^{-2}}, \quad c_b \equiv \mathrm{i}(b + b^{-1})/2$$

while operator G is defined through the equations

$$\mathsf{G}\mathsf{f}_j = (-1)^j \mathsf{f}_{j-1} \mathsf{G}. \tag{2.3}$$

The field variables solve the quantum discrete Liouville equation[1]

$$\chi_{j,t+1} \chi_{j,t-1} = (1 + q \chi_{j+1,t})(1 + q \chi_{j-1,t})$$

with spatial periodic boundary condition

$$\chi_{j+2N,t} = \chi_{j,t}$$

and the initial data given by exponentiated generators

$$\chi_{2j+1,0} = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi b \mathsf{f}_{2j+1}}, \qquad \chi_{2j,-1} = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi b \mathsf{f}_{2j}}.$$

3. Interpretation within quantum Teichmüller theory

In this section we interpret the evolution operator $\mathsf{U_{lc}}$ in geometrical terms by using the formalism of decorated ideal triangulations and their transformations within quantum Teichmüller theory described in [9].

3.1. Geometric realization

We consider an annulus with N marked points on each of its boundary components ($2N$ points in total) and choose decorated ideal triangulation τ_N of it, shown in figure 1. Equivalently, we can think of τ_N as an infinite triangulated strip where triangles are numerated by integers in accordance with figure 1 with periodicity condition $\bar{\tau}_N(n + 2N) = \bar{\tau}_N(n)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this way we come to identification of the integers from 1 to $2N$, numbering triangles in τ_N, with elements of the ring of residues $\mathbb{Z}_{2N} \equiv \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$. Such identification will be assumed in algebraic expressions, when necessary.

Denote $D^{1/N}$ the isotopy class of a homeomorphism of the annulus which rotates the top boundary component wrt the bottom through angle $2\pi/N$ so that the marked points of the top

---

[1] Using invariance of $\mathsf{U_{lc}}$ with respect to symmetry $b \leftrightarrow b^{-1}$, one can also define the dual fields satisfying the dual equation, see [3].

Figure 1. Decorated ideal triangulation τ_N of an annulus with N marked points on each boundary component. The leftmost and the rightmost vertical edges are identified.

Figure 2. Continuous transformation $D^{1/N}$ of triangulated annulus τ_N is a cyclic shift of the top boundary wrt the bottom boundary to the right by one spacing.

boundary are cyclically shifted by one period. The reason for using fractional power notation comes from the fact that

$$\underbrace{D^{1/N} \circ \cdots \circ D^{1/N}}_{N \text{ times}} = D$$

is nothing else but the Dehn twist. From figure 2 it follows that the following composition of geometric transformations is identity,

$$(\ldots, j, j-1, \ldots) \circ \prod_k{}^{\times} \rho_k^{-1} \circ \prod_l{}^{\times} \omega_{2l+1,\check{2l}}^{-1} \circ D^{1/N} = \text{id}$$

where elementary geometric transformations ρ_i and ω_{ij} have the form

and

with

$$\omega_{k,\check{l}} \equiv \rho_l \circ \omega_{k,l} \circ \rho_l^{-1}$$

while $(\ldots, j, j-1, \ldots)$ denotes the index shift transformation $j \mapsto j-1$. Equivalently we can write

$$D^{1/N} = \prod_l{}^{\times} \omega_{2l+1,\check{2l}} \circ \prod_k{}^{\times} \rho_k \circ (\ldots, j, j+1, \ldots)$$

so, quantum realization of $D^{1/N}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$ has the form

$$\mathsf{F}(\tau_N, D^{1/N}(\tau_N)) \simeq \mathsf{D}^{1/N} \equiv \zeta^{-N-6/N} \mathsf{P}_{(\ldots j, j+1 \ldots)} \prod_{k=1}^{2N} \mathsf{A}_k \prod_{l=1}^{N} \mathsf{T}_{2l+1, \check{2l}} \qquad (3.1)$$

with the normalization factor chosen in accordance with the convention for Dehn twists used in [8]. Here $\mathsf{P}_{(\ldots j, j+1 \ldots)}$ is the natural realization of the cyclic permutation,

$$\zeta = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi(b+b^{-1})^2/12}$$
$$\mathsf{A}_k \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi/3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}3\pi \mathsf{q}_k^2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi(\mathsf{p}_k+\mathsf{q}_k)^2}$$
$$\mathsf{T}_{k,\check{l}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi \mathsf{p}_k \mathsf{p}_l}\bar{\varphi}_b(\mathsf{q}_k + \mathsf{q}_l), \qquad \bar{\varphi}_b(z) \equiv (\varphi_b(z))^{-1}$$

where self-adjoint operators p_j, q_j satisfy Heisenberg commutation relations

$$[\mathsf{p}_j, \mathsf{p}_k] = [\mathsf{q}_j, \mathsf{q}_k] = 0, \qquad [\mathsf{p}_j, \mathsf{q}_k] = \delta_{j,k}(2\pi \mathrm{i})^{-1}.$$

We can rewrite equation (3.1) in the form

$$\mathsf{D}^{-1/N} = \zeta^{N+6/N} \prod_{m=1}^{N} \varphi_b(\mathsf{q}_{2m} + \mathsf{q}_{2m+1}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathsf{p}_{2j}\mathsf{p}_{2j+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{2N} \mathsf{A}_k^{-1} \mathsf{P}_{(\ldots, l, l-1, \ldots)}. \qquad (3.2)$$

Proposition 1. *Operators*

$$\kappa(\mathsf{f}_j) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{p}_j + \mathsf{p}_{j-1}, & \text{if } j = 0 \pmod 2 \\ \mathsf{q}_j + \mathsf{q}_{j-1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\kappa(\mathsf{G}) = \zeta^{N+6/N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathsf{p}_{2j}\mathsf{p}_{2j+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{2N} \mathsf{A}_k^{-1} \mathsf{P}_{(\ldots, l, l-1, \ldots)} \qquad (3.4)$$

define faithful (reducible) realization of the observable algebra $\mathcal{A}_N$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$.

Proof. Let us check that these definitions are consistent with relations (2.1) and (2.3). First, evidently,

$$[\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j}), \kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2k})] = [\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j+1}), \kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2k+1})] = 0$$

while

$$[\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j}), \kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2k+1})] = [\mathsf{p}_{2j} + \mathsf{p}_{2j-1}, \mathsf{q}_{2k+1} + \mathsf{q}_{2k}] = (2\pi \mathrm{i})^{-1}(\delta_{j,k} + \delta_{j,k+1})$$

thus reproducing relations (2.1). Next,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\kappa(\mathsf{G}))\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j}) = \mathrm{Ad}(\kappa(\mathsf{G}))(\mathsf{p}_{2j} + \mathsf{p}_{2j-1}) = \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathsf{p}_{2k}\mathsf{p}_{2k+1}})(\mathsf{p}_{2j-1} + \mathsf{p}_{2j-2})$$

$$= \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathsf{p}_{2k}\mathsf{p}_{2k+1}})(\mathsf{q}_{2j-1} - \mathsf{p}_{2j-1} + \mathsf{q}_{2j-2} - \mathsf{p}_{2j-2}) = \mathsf{q}_{2j-1} + \mathsf{q}_{2j-2}$$

$$= \kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j-1})$$

and similarly

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\kappa(\mathsf{G}))\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j+1}) = \mathrm{Ad}(\kappa(\mathsf{G}))(\mathsf{q}_{2j+1} + \mathsf{q}_{2j})$$

$$= \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathsf{p}_{2k}\mathsf{p}_{2k+1}})(\mathsf{q}_{\check{2j}} + \mathsf{q}_{2j\check{-}1}) = -\mathsf{p}_{2j} - \mathsf{p}_{2j-1} = -\kappa(\mathsf{f}_{2j})$$

in agreement with equation (2.3). $\square$

Now, comparing equations (2.2) and (3.2), we come to our main result

$$\kappa(\mathsf{U}_{\mathrm{lc}}) = \mathsf{D}^{-1/N}. \qquad (3.5)$$

3.2. A similarity transformation

Here, we give (without proof) the result of similarity transformation which simplifies the Nth power of the evolution operator.

Define

$$\mathsf{W} \equiv \prod_{N \geqslant j > 1} (\bar{\varphi}_b(\mathsf{f}_{2j})\varphi_b(\mathsf{f}_{2j-1})\varphi_b(\mathsf{g}_{2j,2N+1})), \qquad \bar{\varphi}_b(x) \equiv (\varphi_b(x))^{-1}$$

where $\mathsf{g}_{j,k} \equiv \sum_{l=j+1}^{k} \mathsf{f}_l$, and the product of noncommuting operators is in decreasing order from left to right.

Proposition 2. *One has the following explicit expression for the transformed evolution operator*

$$\tilde{\mathsf{U}}_{lc} \equiv \mathrm{Ad}(\mathsf{W}^{-1})\mathsf{U}_{lc} = (\zeta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/6})^{-N} \prod_{N > k > 1} \bar{\varphi}_b(\mathsf{g}_{2k-1,2N-1})$$

$$\times \bar{\varphi}_b(\mathsf{g}_{2,2N-1})\varphi_b(\mathsf{g}_{2N-1,2N+1})\bar{\varphi}_b(\mathsf{f}_2)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathsf{f}_{2l}^2}\mathsf{G}$$

where the product is again in decreasing order from left to right, while the Nth power has the form

$$\tilde{\mathsf{U}}_{lc}^{N} = (\zeta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/6})^{1-N^2}\varphi_b(\mathsf{g}_{2,2N+1})\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\pi \mathsf{f}_2^2}\big(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathsf{f}_{2l}^2}\mathsf{G}\big)^{N}.$$

4. Conclusion

The main result of this paper is formula (3.5) which, on one side, identifies 'zero-modes' of the $2N$-periodic quantum discrete Liouville equation to be given by the Nth power of the light-cone evolution operator U_{lc}, and equates these zero-modes to the (inverse of) Dehn twist operator in quantum Teichmüller theory, on the other side. The immediate consequence of this result is that now, based on the known spectrum of operator D, we know the spectrum of the model. Indeed, the complete spectrum of D is given by the formula [9]:

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathsf{D}) = \{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi \Delta_s} | s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$$

where

$$\Delta_s = \frac{c_L - 1}{24} + s^2, \qquad c_L = 1 + 6(b + b^{-1})^2 > 1$$

are conformal weights and the Virasoro central charge in (continuous) quantum Liouville theory, see [10] for a recent review. This is consistent with interpretation of the Dehn twist spectrum as Liouville conformal weights through the formula $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathsf{D}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}2\pi L_0})$, where L_0 is the Virasoro generator in continuous quantum Liouville theory with the known spectrum

$$\mathrm{Spec}(L_0) = \{\Delta_s + m | s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}.$$

Our result implies the following spectrum of U_{lc}

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathsf{U}_{lc}) = \{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}2\pi(\Delta_s+m)/N} | s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\}$$

which coincides with the spectrum of the exponential operator $\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}L_0/N}$ in quantum Liouville theory. Thus, the discrete version of quantum Liouville theory is in complete agreement with the continuous one and there is no modification in the spectrum of conformal weights.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Chekhov L and Fock V V 1999 Quantum Teichmüller space *Theor. Math. Phys.* **120** 1245–59 (math.QA/9908165)

[2] Faddeev LD 1992 Quantum symmetry in conformal field theory by Hamiltonian methods. Cargèse 1991 *New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory* ed J Frölich *et al* (New York: Plenum) pp 159–75

[3] Faddeev L D, Kashaev R M and Volkov A Yu 2000 Strongly coupled quantum discrete Liouville theory. I: Algebraic approach and duality *Preprint* hep-th/0006156

[4] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1986 Liouville model on the lattice. *Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings: Proc. Meudon and Paris VI, France 1984/85 (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 246)* ed H J de Vega and N Sanchez (Berlin: Springer)

[5] Faddeev L D and Volkov A Yu 1999 Algebraic quantization of integrable models in discrete space-time *Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics (Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications vol 16)* (Oxford: Clarendon) pp 301–20 (hep-th/97010039)

[6] Fock V V 1997 Dual Teichmüller spaces *Preprint* dg-ga/9702018

[7] Kashaev R M 1998 Quantization of Teichmüller spaces and the quantum dilogarithm *Lett. Math. Phys.* **43** 105–15 (q-alg/9705021)

[8] Kashaev R M 1999 Liouville central charge in quantum Teichmüller theory *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. vol 226* pp 63–71 (hep-th/9811203)

[9] Kashaev R M 2000 On the spectrum of Dehn twists in quantum Teichmüller theory *Preprint* HIP-2000-44/TH, math.QA/0008148

[10] Teschner J 2001 Liouville theory revisited *Preprint* hep-th/0104158